

THE SALT LAKE HERALD

Published Every Day in the Year
BY THE HERALD COMPANY.

Terms of Subscription.
DAILY AND SUNDAY—One month, \$5
cents; three months, \$12.50; one year, \$40.00.
SUNDAY—One year, \$2.00.
SEMI-WEEKLY—(in advance), one
year, \$10.00; six months, \$5.00.

Subscribers wishing address of paper
changed must give former as well as
present address.
All papers are continued until explicit
order is received to discontinue. All
arrearages must be paid in every case.

The Herald can be obtained at these
places:
New York—Waldorf-Astoria; Imperial;
Astor House.
Chicago—The Auditorium; Palmer
House; Great Northern Hotel.
Kansas City—Cotter House.
Omaha—Union Depot; McLaughlin &
Co.; E. C. Gates; Blumhouse Book Ex-
change; Megeath Stationery Co.
Denver—Brown Palace; Hamilton &
Kendrick; Union Depot; S. Weinstein.
Oakland—N. Wheatley News Co.
San Francisco—Hotel St. Francis.
Sacramento—Sacramento News Co.
Los Angeles—B. E. Amos Wagon; Ho-
tel Lankershim.
Minneapolis—West Hotel.
St. Louis—Cotter House; G. L. Ack-
erman; The Southern.
Seattle—Hotel Seattle; International
News Co.; W. Ellis.
Spokane—J. W. Graham & Co.
Tacoma—International News Co.
Portland—A. Bader; Oregon News Co.

AMUSEMENTS TODAY.

Orpheum—Vaudeville.
Grand—“Old Arkansas.”
Lyric—Vaudeville.

WEATHER FOR SALT LAKE.

Fair.

THE GOLDFIELD SITUATION.

The greatest gold mining camp this
nation has ever known is Goldfield,
Nevada. Situated close to the heart of
a great, gray desert, it throbs with life
and activity. It is a city, made so by
man's deep rooted love for gold. And
today in Goldfield men are walking
about bowed by the weight of the fire-
arms they carry. Every man looks
upon his neighbor with suspicion. The
snap of a friction match in a crowd
on a Goldfield street causes a dozen
men to jump and feel instinctively for
their revolvers.

There is danger of an explosion at
any moment, an explosion that might
cost, ten, twenty, a hundred lives. The
position of Goldfield people may be likened
to that of men who sit around a
magazine filled with loose powder and
smoke pipes. The powder might not
explode—but a spark might drop into
it at any minute and blow the smokers
into eternity. A Goldfield man is
quoted as saying: “I came here to
make my pile and I'm going to make it
unless they carry me out feet first.”

There is hardly an hour in the twenty-
four at which men do not live at the
highest tension, hardly an hour when
they lose sight of the fear that the
next moment the shot that is going to
be heard around the world will be fired.
Yet the men stay on. All of them do
not stay because they love gold.
Some stay because they love excite-
ment, some because they fear they
would be branded as cowards if they
left, some because they hope to profit
by chaotic conditions, some because they
have fairly won what they have and
their sense of eternal justice prompts
them to hold it.

The Herald hopes the situation at
Goldfield will be cleared without resort
to violence by either labor men or em-
ployers, or by either of the warring
factions of labor men. It believes in
the future of the great camp. Wealth
in volume that has yet to be measured
is stored away in the hills around the
city, and some day, whether it is to-
morrow or ten years hence, it is going
to be dug out and given its place in the
commerce of the world. The intrinsic
value of the mines will not be destroyed
by industrial troubles. The develop-
ment can only be deferred.

There should be a reasonable, middle
ground on which discordant elements
can safely gather. Dead men are not
an ornament to any community. One
miner with a pick and a pair of strong
arms is worth more to Goldfield than
a vast cemetery full of corpses. May
the right quickly prevail at Goldfield
and may normal conditions be restored
without delay.

ENGLAND AND SUFFRAGETTES.

To an American the treatment of the
English women who have been agitating
for the grant of suffrage to their sex
is almost incomprehensible. A recent
issue of Collier's tells the story in a
most interesting way. The movement
began with a few prominent leaders.
When they consulted Mr. Balfour,
then premier, that practical politician
told them to “first work up a big, rep-
resentative organization throughout the
country. Second, publicly sound all
the parliamentary candidates. Lastly,
agitate: kick up a fuss; keep on kick-
ing. Get into the public eye through
the newspapers and stay there. If in-
terest and publicity wane, kick up a
new kind of fuss. And all the time
keep on knocking at the doors of par-
liament.”

The advice sounds like the counsel
of a wild-eyed agitator, but it came
from a Conservative leader, an aristo-
crat, a man who abhors fuss. That it
was eminently sound has been proved
by the result, although it was a little
hard on the agitators. And the way
they kicked up fusses was a caution to
staid old John Bull. They broke up
meetings, defied the police, served time
in prisons—and time in an English
prison is no picnic. They stormed the
doors of parliament and were arrested.
Then they stormed some more and
were arrested again. Dainty, refined
women were compelled to scrub stone
prison floors, denied visitors and mail,
fed on prison diet; some were kept in
solitary confinement in small cells
with no fire.

Among the prisoners was a daughter
of Richard Cobden; Mrs. Montefiore, a

woman of wealth and education; Mrs.
How-Martyn, lecturer on mathematics
at Westfield college; Miss Gawthorpe,
honorary graduate of Victoria university
and others of similar distinction. They
were not only arrested, but were treat-
ed brutally by the police for resisting
arrest. Their hearings in court were
in seclusion, not even relatives being
permitted to attend. Altogether the law
officials and the men who pushed the
prosecutions seem to have bungled
things as badly as possible and done
more to advance the cause of suffrage
than if no attention had been paid to
the demonstrations.

Leaving aside any consideration of
the stupidity of such prosecutions, the
prison treatment these women endured
seems a relic of the middle ages. In
America the judge or prison official
who would undertake such a pro-
gram would attain national cele-
brity of a most unpleasant kind in
twenty-four hours. He would prob-
ably attain some personal discomfort
as well before he got through.

A long range diagnosis of the situa-
tion indicates a serious defect in the
English system somewhere. Exact
justice is a good thing; but in this case
a liberal admixture of common sense,
with a dash of the humor which saves a
ridiculous situation, might have averted
a lot of trouble for the men of
England. As it is, they are waking up
to their blunders; the police are in a
quandary and dodge whenever they
see a suffragette coming; the ministry
is talking of conciliation and the whole
country is beginning to smile a little
broadly at the terror these gentle
women have inspired in the political
leaders and their followers.

A CONSCIENCE OVERTAKEN.

The Honorable George Sheldon, gov-
ernor of Nebraska, is the victim of an
overtaken conscience. Governor Shel-
don, having discovered a constitutional
provision which sets forth that the
chief executive shall receive no per-
quisites aside from his salary of \$2,500
a year, has directed the attorney gen-
eral to sue him in the name of the
state to recover rental of the executive
mansion at the rate of \$100 a month.
The governor's conscience is so ex-
tremely sensitive that he cannot live in
the mansion rent free, as other governors
before him have done.

And he admits that if the judgment
of the court is against him he will have
to find some other place of residence.
He is a poor man and cannot afford to
pay nearly half of his small salary for
house rent. But Sheldon has already
received his reward. He is referred to
in Nebraska as “Honest George.” That
is a sobriquet that has its disadvan-
tages, because other “Honest Georges,”
and “Honest Dicks” have gone into his-
tory as embezzlers of public funds. Of
course such a finish is not possible for
Sheldon and we make the comment in
passing merely to show that the ap-
pellation is not all that makes life
worth living.

If Sheldon likes it he is welcome to
it as far as we are concerned. How-
ever, some people will be mean
enough to insinuate that Sheldon is
making a gallery play in this instance.
If his conscience distressed him so ter-
ribly about living in the executive man-
sion without paying rent, there was
nothing to prevent him from quietly
paying a reasonable sum into the state
treasury and then moving out, if he
could not stand the expense. There
was no real necessity for bringing the
question before a court. If Sheldon is
satisfied in his own mind that he ought
to pay rent to the state a court decision
in contravention of that opinion
should not cause him to change his
opinion.

If a thing is wrong all the court de-
cisions that could be bound into calf
volumes in a hundred years would not
make it right.

ACCURACY AS A VICE.

Accuracy is a mighty good thing in
its place, and its place is nearly every-
where, though not quite. How often
have you seen a splendid story spoiled
by some ass who points out
that the statements therein
cannot possibly be true, and then
proceeds to mathematically demon-
strate the correctness of his position?
We do not believe it is right to spoil a
good story, when the story does no one
any harm.

Comes now, for example, Professor
Greenhill, who contributes to the Lon-
don Post an article that proves beyond
the peradventure of a doubt that
Hiawatha could not have done the
things Longfellow attributed to him.
You remember:
Strong of arm was Hiawatha;
He could shoot ten arrows upward
And the tenth had left the bowstring
Ere the first to earth had fallen.
Swift of foot was Hiawatha;
He could shoot an arrow from him
And run forward with such swiftness
That the arrow fell behind him.

Professor Greenhill gravely points
out that, if it be admitted that Hiaw-
tha could shoot an arrow a second,
which is reasonably fast shooting, the
first arrow would be obliged to remain
not less than nine seconds in the air.
He lays down the rule that “the veloci-
ty with which they were shot, being
equal to the time multiplied into the
half of the value of the constant gravi-
ty was 144 feet per second,” whatever
that may mean. And it is impossible,
according to the learned professor, for
any human being to hurl anything from
him at the rate of 144 feet a second.

Continuing, the professor says: “In
shooting an arrow to obtain the fur-
thest flight Hiawatha would let it fly
at an angle of 45 degrees, and by a sim-
ple trigonometrical calculation we
therefore arrive at the fact that the
horizontal velocity of the arrow would
be rather less than 144 feet a second, or
about seventy miles an hour.” To beat
the arrow Hiawatha would have to run
at the rate of 102 feet a second. The
mathematician wants to know if he

could do it, or if any human being
could do it?

We are obliged to answer the ques-
tion in the negative. At the same time
we would like to ask another. Which
do you, dear reader, think will be
known longer, the story of Hiawatha
or Professor Greenhill of London?

A Cleveland woman went into court
the other day with a request for an in-
junction to prevent her husband from
saying “fudge.” A lot of people ought
to be enjoined from making it.

It must have occurred to Abe Ruef
of San Francisco that he was to be
tried in a court of justice when he
forfeited his \$50,000 bail bond.

Judge Diehl's action in the Ducey
case will probably be taken to mean
that he has given up hope of being
re-elected.

In case Harry Joseph were elected to
succeed Howell, would the joke be on
congress or the people of Utah?

BITS OF JUDICIAL WIT.

**Humor of the Bench Frequently Liv-
ens Up Dry Proceedings.**

Justice Maule, one of the most notable
of the Victorian judges, is the prince of
judicial wits. “My lord, you may believe
me or not, but I have stated a word
that is false, for I have been wedded to
truth from my infancy,” exclaimed a wit-
ness, when cautioned to tell the truth.
“Yes, sir,” said Justice Maule, “but the
question is how long have you been a
widower?”

At one time the bailiff in charge of a
jury was sworn to keep them “without
meat, drink or fire.” It was Justice
Maule who gave the classical reply to
the bailiff, who inquired whether he
might grant a jurymen's request for a
slice of water. “Well, it's not meat, and
I should not call it drink. Yes, you may.”

Another characteristic display of Justice
Maule's humor was occasioned by the
appearance of a little girl in the witness box.
It was the judge's duty, before allow-
ing her to be sworn, to ascertain whether
she understood the nature of an oath and
believed in a future state.

“Do you know what an oath is, my
child?”
“Yes, sir, I am obliged to tell the truth.”
“And if you do not tell the truth, what
will you go when you die?”
“Up to heaven, sir.”

“And what will become of you if you
tell lies?”
“I shall go to the naughty place, sir.”
“Are you sure of that?”
“Yes, sir, quite sure.”
“Let her be sworn,” said Justice Maule;
“it is quite clear she knows a great deal
more than I do.”

Nearly all of Maule's good sayings had
a strong touch of irony. “May I be
struck by lightning and die if I am guilty,”
exclaimed a prisoner, when the jury
found him guilty.

Justice Maule waited a few minutes and
then said: “Prisoner at the bar, as
Providence has not seen fit to interfere,
the sentence of the court is—judgment.”

His humor was not always of the kind
that makes the bar merry. “I am sorry
to see you here,” he once observed to an ad-
vocate whose speech was wanting in lu-
cidity. “But do you not think that by in-
troducing a long and tedious speech you
might possibly render yourself a
trifle more intelligible? It may be my
fault that I cannot tell the difference
between a long and a short speech, but
I should like to stipulate for some
kind of order. There are plenty of
them. There is the chronological, the bot-
anical, the metaphysical, the geographi-
cal—even the alphabetical order would be
better than none at all.”

Of judicial wit there are many kinds.
Lord Bramwell is among the judges
whose style of humor has not been want-
ing in variety. A prisoner was once tried
before him at an assize town for stealing
a ham. The story was extremely hot, the
counsel was incoherent and the ham per-
spired in the crowded court. When at last
it came to Lord Bramwell to address the
jury he summed up the case in these
words: “There, gentlemen, is the pris-
oner, and there, gentlemen, is the ham.
Consider your verdict.”

Lord Bramwell's witniest saying, how-
ever, belonged to a brother who was
tried for the theft of a barrel of butter
from the paddock end. The favorite fig-
ure to be a 1 to 5 thing, and he was “Awk-
in's Ors,” at that.

“Well, the chalker's brother gets to
the chuck and the jack I mean—”
“The chuck,” said the judge, “is the
chuck with the strong arm, and slips
him a half M to attend to the snatch-
ery stuff. That's the way it's fixed.”

“Well, all the rest of the ringsters
lay 1 to 6 against the favorite, but the
strong arm chap came up 1 to 2 and yells
for the high slingers all to come to
him. He takes in a trollop of kate at
that figure without rubbing.”

“But somebody in the judges' stand
gets up, and the kid is ‘chuck’ and
he does ‘chuck’ and fetch the short odds
come home, he'll get it where Fannie
wears the fichu, and of course there's
nothing else for him to do but to hand
the two-croos to the play layer, and
he kicks the favorite out from the rap
of the drum and fetches him down to
the wire by the difference between cen-
tral and Rocky mountain time, and the
trickster layer and his brother got a
green ticket for the citron patch for
theirs.”

“You are to remember that for many
years I had been editing home pages
and women's departments, and the like.
I leave it to any impartial person, if
that kind of a narrative wasn't calcu-
lated to make me think that I was
dramatizing gibberish.”

“He was telling me how one of his
own horses was left at the post in a
bad race.”

“And there was I,” he said, “when
the web went up, standing still with my
weight on my heels, rubbing over the
fence at a bunch of dinges sitting in a
tree outside the grounds.”

“He meant that his horse had been
left flatfooted when the barrier went
up, and that the horse in his abstraction
had been gazing at a cluster of
chickens in the tree outside the track
grounds. But it is reasonable to sup-
pose that I—editor of a woman's pa-
per—could have known that without some
elucidation?”

“You were standing still? I said to
him, ‘Oh, then this wasn't a horse race,
but a footrace, and you were—’
“And again he had a perfectly legiti-
mate occasion to throw his head back
and laugh.”

“Well,” he said again, with an air of
profound disgust over the incident,
“when the bunch hit the top of the bend
there was the jock on my Edam sound
asleep in the saddle, and he got off con-
fusedly nudged himself out of the com-
ma till a hunk of Camembert of Jim-
mie McCafferty's swung to the front
and copied the leading cush by four
Salt Lake City blocks.”

“But it is possible,” I asked him, “for
a jockey to really fall asleep on a
horse's back during a race?”

“Oh, there isn't any doubt in the
world that at the outset of that expe-
rience I was simply a wonder as a com-
piler of racing stories.”

“This morning glory of mine,” he
said, again describing one of his horses,
“could trudge a mile in nothing but
lantern light, but when he got the col-
ors up, he was a word fly anchored to
the bottom of a muddy lake.”

“Those floral minks sounded pretty
good.”

“Now that your busy season is about
to open, I presume you are be-
ginning to brush up on your oratory.”
“Nothing of the kind,” replied Senator
Banger. “Nowadays public officials don't
have to orate. It's money that talks.”

Lingo of the Race Track

Olive Logan's Expe-
rience with Joe Ullman

Olive Logan collaborated with Joe
Ullman, the bookmaker, in the making
of a book of racing stories a few years
ago. She recently told some friends
about the difficulties she encountered
in connection with that job.

“I had to begin life with all over
again so far as learning the English
language was concerned,” she said. “I
mean racetrack English. It was about
as hard, I should imagine, as mastering
Russian.”

“Nevertheless, I was not in a posi-
tion to refuse work. At a particular
time I would have undertaken to write
a treatise in Sanskrit on the hypothe-
sis of the fourth dimension.”

“Mr. Ullman was to tell me racing
stories and I was to reduce them to
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race in
written form. I found Mr. Ullman to
be a very sympathetic and agreeable
man. But when he got on the subject
of racing—when he began to tell a rac-
ing story. He might just as well have
been conversing in the Zambesi lan-
guage so far as my understanding him
was concerned.”

“Well,” he ran on, at our first work-
ing meeting, in describing a race